

Pioneering policies and practices tackling educational inequalities in Europe

Deliverable No. 6.5

Evidence-based co-authored and open-access report of most promising pioneering policies and practices

Call: H2020-SC6-Transformations-2020

Topic: TRANSFORMATIONS-22-2020: Enhancing access and uptake of

education to reverse inequalities

Funding Scheme: Research & Innovation Action (RIA)

Grant Agreement no.: 101004392

Project Title: Pioneering policies and practices tackling educational

inequalities in Europe

Contractual Submission Date: 31/12/2023
Actual Submission Date: 18/12/2023
Responsible partner: Norway



Area focused measures in Loddefjord and Olsvik [18]

Country	Norway	
Authors of the table	Joakim Jensen, Jan Skrobanek	
Name of the policy / practice	Area focused measures in Loddefjord and Olsvik (Områdesatsing i Loddefjord og Olsvik) [18]	
Short description and the	Area focused measures are top-down programmes to tackle educational (and social) inequality. The programmes	
main characteristics of the	focus on specific areas that have socio-economic, socio-cultural, and health challenges. Officials from the	
policy / practice	municipality oversee the implementation of the programmes, which encompasses both formal, non-formal, and	
	informal education. In addition to improving schools and the local environment (such as social meeting places and	
	leisure time activities) in the chosen area, infrastructure is to be developed. New to the current area focus measures	
	in Loddefjord and Olsvik is the implementation of innovative pedagogical methods aimed at helping children who	
Toward success of the malian /	struggle in primary and secondary schools.	
Target group of the policy / practice:	There is a high rate of mental health challenges, school 'drop-outs', as well as low socio-economic status compared to other city areas. The community is characterised by several low-rise and high-rise apartment buildings in a small	
practice.	geographical area and thus there is a high population density, which is a contributing cause of the problems in the	
	area, according to some informants. In addition to a high level of young people 'dropping out' of school, an	
	increasing number of youths are involved in gangs, violence, as well as use and sale of drugs. The target groups are	
	all children and youth in primary and secondary schools in the area who are disadvantaged, and the aim is to lower	
	'drop-out' rates.	
Educational stage or	Primary and secondary school	
transition phase		
Level of implementation	Meso	
MILC dimensions		
	Multilevel:	
	Micro-level: Pupils who lack resources from home do not have the experiences or language necessary to	
	connect what they learn in school to everyday life. Schooling becomes abstract and uninteresting for them.	
	"Exploratory learning" has been implemented as a teaching method to engage pupils that lack resources and	
	cultural capital from home. The aim is to help those who lack experience and the proper "school" language	
	from home at the beginning of their educational trajectories. (To mind: definition/description of target group	
	based on stakeholder FG and interview as well as program description).	
	Meso-level: Primary schools and a secondary school in the area are included in the program. The program is	

 implemented by the municipality. In addition to formal education, leisure till will be developed. In this regard, several City Departments as well as other preparation environment groups (Nærmiljøgrupper) cooperate in the programme contrille. Macro-level: The area focused measures are partly funded by the State (and municipality). 		c organisations and local to program realisation.		
focused between class diff with refer between negotiat initiative Life cour However that lear transitio		ntersectionalities: Complex and intertwined overarching social challenges are not properly tackled by the area focused measures. Some of these complexities are: rifts between the established vs. newcomers/movers and between the young vs. the old inhabitants of the area, rifts based on cultural/ethnic differences in the population, class differences and associated economic inequalities between those who live in the area/city district (here often with reference to economic and cultural forms of strategic dominance/distinction and boundary making), rifts between those with high and those with low social capital which effect the possibility of resistance, mobilisation, negotiation, and engagement, and top-down praxis of the municipality/city administration vs. grassroot nitiatives. Life course perspective: The pedagogical aspects of the programmes are limited to primary and secondary school. However, formal and non-formal education plays a central part. From a life course perspective, it is acknowledged that learning is cumulative and that early efforts should be made to help those who struggle for fostering smooth transition. As such, one of the main aims of the programme is to reduce 'drop-out' rates in upper-secondary school amongst the population in the area.		
Key dimension	-			
identification				
CONDITIONS	Comprehensiv	The programme has a holistic approach focusing on formal and non-formal	Medium	
: Foundationa	e	education as well as infrastructure development in the area.		
l premise	Coherent	Top-down programme with influence on the formal education system. Attempts are	Medium	
level		made to bridge the formal education system with non-formal education and involve		
		the local community. However, our data shows challenges when trying to involve		
		the local community – especially with regards to those who need it most.		

	Continuous	Having a holistic approach and a programme that lasts seven years (2019-2026), the	Medium
		programme aims to foster continuity in education for struggling pupils and prevent	
		them from 'dropping out' at a later stage. However, proper evaluations of the	
		programme have not yet been conducted. Some informants worry what will happen	
		when the programme concludes in 2026, and that the standard of living surveys will	
		not show substantial improvement the next 10-20 years.	
ELEMENTS:	Contextual	Being specific to a certain area, the programme plan is developed with the specific	Medium-High
Structural		context in mind. The pedagogical initiatives in schools are developed by resource	
level		persons (who are also teachers) who are employed by the municipality for the	
		programme. The resource persons meet regularly and share experiences. As such,	
		the pedagogical initiatives are constantly being improved to adapt to the needs of	
		the struggling pupils. A contextual challenge is that many adults who struggle with	
		substances occupy many nature trails in the area – which is not addressed in the	
		programme. In this regard, a pedagogical initiative called "placed-based learning"	
		(which is, for example, learning about nature by actually going outdoors rather than	
		classroom teaching) is difficult to accomplish locally.	
	Relational	The programme is characterised by a high level of bridging. It is overseen and funded	High
		by the municipality (The City department of Labour, Socialisation and Living) in	
		cooperation with the state (The Ministry of Local Government and Regional	
		Development). By aiming to improve both the local environment, education, and	
		infrastructure – school leaders and teachers, members of the community, as well as	
		organisations like youth clubs and sports are also included in a cooperative strategy	
		to improve the area. The City Department of Kindergarten, School and Sports is	
		involved with both the development of the local environment and education. Its	
		subdivision, the Agency of Education, cooperate with school leaders and oversee the	
		formal education aspects of the programme, such as the implementation of	
		pedagogical methods by resource persons. The City Department of Climate,	
		Environment and City Development and its sub-division, The Agency of Building and	
		Property, are also involved in the development the local environment and as well as	
		infrastructure. In addition to the different branches of the municipality, other public	

		organisations as well as local environment groups (<i>Nærmiljøgrupper</i>) cooperate in the programme.	
TOOLS: Action level	Autonomous	Being a top-down initiative, the autonomy of educational professionals is somewhat limited, as they must follow programme protocols and directions from the municipality. However, resource persons employed in the programme (who are also teachers) develop pedagogical initiatives with reasonable autonomy. For example, one resource person, being a chilli enthusiast, has introduced chilli plant growing as part of the programmes' "project-based learning", where pupils learn several different subjects (e.g., math, Norwegian, science) as part of the process.	Low
	Reflexive	The resource persons working in the various schools develop the pedagogical initiatives as they see what works. They meet regularly amongst themselves and with other teachers and school leaders to further develop the best methods. As such, there is a degree of reflexivity regarding the pedagogical initiatives.	High
There are ongoing evaluations of the programme that has not been yet published. However, it is stated i programme plan that earlier area focused measures other places did not improve educational outcomes sufficient extent> https://www.bergen.kommune.no/politikere-utvalg/api/fil/bk360/3745919/Programbeskrivelse-omradesatsing-i-Loddefjord-og-Olsvik-2019-2026 However, these earlier programmes did not have pedagogical initiatives as part of the program, and only improved the infrastructure of and around schools. As such, the pedagogical initiatives were implemented of the Loddefjord and Olsvik programme to specifically improve learning outcomes. In 2018, before the program was implemented, a mapping of problems in the area was conducted to est which aspects were most important to focus on in the area focused measures: https://www.bergen.kommune.no/hvaskjer/tema/omradesatsing/dokumentasjon/rapporter/innbyggeruelse-i-loddefjord-2018#/		svik-2019-2026 e program, and only s were implemented as part as conducted to establish	
policy / practice in the context: as infrastruction to regular clienting" are		An essential aspect of the practice is its holistic considerations of formal education, no as infrastructure development. Regarding formal education, "exploratory learning" is to regular classroom teaching that is being implemented by resource persons. Some e learning" are "place-based" and "project-based" learning. The aim of these pedagogic children develop the experiences and language they are lacking from home to connect	highlighted as an alternative examples of "exploratory ral methods is to help

	As such, more resources are used on those who lack such skills and are early on thought to fall behind throughout
	their educational trajectories.